arXiv:1609.06286v1 [math.AP] 20 Sep 2016

Global existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions to the Euler equations with time-dependent damping

Xinghong Pan *

(Department of Mathematics and IMS, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China.)

Abstract

We study the isentropic Euler equations with time-dependent damping, given by $\frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}\rho u$. Here, λ, μ are two non-negative constants to describe the decay rate of damping with respect to time. We will investigate the global existence and asymptotic behavior of small data solutions to the Euler equations when $0 < \lambda < 1, 0 < \mu$ in multi-dimensions $n \geq 1$. The asymptotic behavior will coincide with the one that obtained by many authors in the case $\lambda = 0$. We will also show that the solution can only decay polynomially in time while in the three dimensions, the vorticity will decay exponentially fast.

Keywords: Euler equations, time-dependent damping, global existence, asymptotic behavior, vorticity, exponential decay.

Mathematical Subject Classification 2010: 35L70, 35L65, 76N15.

1 Introduction

This paper deals with the isentropic Euler equations with time-dependent damping in multi-dimensions:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho u) = 0, \\ \partial_t (\rho u) + \nabla \cdot (\rho u \otimes u) + \nabla p = -\dfrac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}\rho u, \\ \rho|_{t=0} = 1 + \rho_0(x), u|_{t=0} = u_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\rho_0(x) \in \mathbb{R}, u_0(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, supported in $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n || x| \leq R\}$. Here $\rho(t,x), u(t,x)$ and $p(t,x)$ represent the density, fluid velocity and pressure respectively and λ, μ are two positive constants to describe the decay rate of the damping in time. We assume the fluid is a polytropic gas which means we can assume $p(\rho) = \frac{1}{\gamma}\rho^\gamma, \gamma > 1$.

*E-mail:math.scrat@gmail.com.

As is well known, when the damping vanishes, shock will form. For the mathematical analysis of finite-time formation of singularities, readers can see Alinhac[1], Chemin[2], Courant-Friedrichs[3], Christodoulou[5], Makino-Ukai-Kawashima[16], Rammaha[22] as well as Chen-Liu[4], Yin[29], Sideris[23, 24] and references therein for more detail.

The Euler equations with non time-decayed damping are

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho u) = 0, \\ \partial_t (\rho u) + \nabla \cdot (\rho u \otimes u) + \nabla p = -\kappa \rho u, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where κ is the damping constant and $1/\kappa$ can be regarded as the relaxation time of some physical fluid. Many authors have proven the global existence and uniqueness of smooth solutions to system (1.2) with small data. Also the asymptotic behavior of the smooth solution was studied. For the 1d Euler equations, see Dafermos[6], Hsiao-Liu[7], Huang-Marcati-Pan[10],Nishida[17], Nishihara-Wang-Yang[19] and their references. For the multi-dimensional case, Wang-Yang[28] give the pointwise estimates of the solution by using some energy methods and estimating the Green function to the linearized system. Sideris-Thomases-Wang[25] proves a similar result by using a simpler approach. They both proved that the smooth solution decays in maximum norm to the background state at a rate of $(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ in 3 dimensions. Sideris-Thomases-Wang also show that the smooth solution has a polynomially decayed lower bound in time while the vorticity will decay exponentially. Tan-Wang[26], Jiu-Zheng[11] study this problem in the frame of Besov space and obtain similar asymptotic behavior of the solution. Also see Kong-Wang [14] for extension.

It is natural to ask whether the global solution exists when the damping is decayed and what is the critical decay rate to separate the global existence and the finite-time blow up of solutions with small data. The papers [8, 9],[20, 21] have done some inspection on this topic where the authors systematically study the case that the damping decays with time as $\frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}$. They believe that there is a pair of non-negative critical exponent $(\lambda_c(n), \mu_c(n))$, depending on the space dimension n, such that

when $0 \le \lambda < \lambda_c(n), 0 < \mu$ *or* $\lambda = \lambda_c(n), \mu > \mu_c(n)$, (1.1) *have global existence of small-data solutions; while when* $\lambda = \lambda_c(n), \mu \le \mu_c(n)$ *and* $\lambda > \lambda_c(n), 0 \le \mu$, *the smooth solutions of* (1.1) *will blow up in finite time.*

In two and three dimensions, Hou-Witt-Yin [9], Hou-Yin [8] have shown that the critical exponent is $(\lambda_c(3), \mu_c(3)) = (1, 0)$ and $(\lambda_c(2), \mu_c(2)) = (1, 1)$; while in one dimension, the critical exponent is $(\lambda_c(1), \mu_c(1)) = (1, 2)$, partly presented in [20, 21].

This paper deals with the case $0 < \lambda < \lambda_c(n) = 1, 0 < \mu$ in multi-dimensions $n \ge 1$. We will obtain the global existence and asymptotic behavior of the solution to system (1.1). The method used here will be completely different from that in [8, 9]. Also we will show that the convergence rate of the solution to the background constant state $(1, 0)$ will coincide with that one obtained by Wang-Yang[28] and Sideris-Thomases-Wang[25] when $\lambda = 0$ which indicates that the time-asymptotic behavior of the solution is the diffusion wave of the corresponding linear system.

The proof of the global existence of the solution is based on the method of weighted energy estimates for symmetric hyperbolic system by introducing the sound speed as a new variable

rather than the density. We will establish some weighted a prior estimates to the solution. The choice of the weight is inspired by the corresponding linear wave equation with effective damping satisfied by the sound speed. See (2.3). The weight can be found in Nishihara[18]. The local-existence result stated in Kato[12] or Majda[15] and the continuity argument can assure the global existence of the solution.

The estimates of convergence rate of the solution to the background state come from the investigation of the fundamental solutions to the phase function of the corresponding linear wave equation which can be found in Wirth[27]. We will show that L^2 and L^∞ norms of the solution to system (1.1) will present a decay estimate similar to that of the corresponding linear dissipative wave equation with the same damping.

Let $H^l(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the usual Sobolev space with its norm

$$\|f\|_{H^l} \triangleq \sum_{k=0}^{l} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \|\partial_x^\alpha f\|_{L^2}.$$

where $\partial_x^\alpha = \partial_1^{\alpha_1}...\partial_n^{\alpha_n}$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n)$. Later for convenience, we will use $\partial_x^k = \sum\limits_{|\alpha|=k} \partial_x^\alpha$, use $\|\cdot\|_p$ to denote $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$ and $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_2$.

We state the global existence result as follows.

Theorem 1.1 *Denote $B = \frac{(1+\lambda)n}{2} - \delta$, where $\delta \in (0, \frac{(1+\lambda)n}{2}]$ can be arbitrarily small. Suppose that $n \geq 1$, $0 < \lambda < 1, 0 < \mu$ and $(\rho_0, u_0) \in H^{s+m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, supported in $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | |x| \leq R\}$, where $s = [n/2] + 1$ and $m \geq 2$. Then there exists a $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\delta, \lambda, \mu, R)$ such that for any $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, when $\|(\rho_0, u_0)\|_{H^{s+m}} \leq \varepsilon$, there exists a unique global classical solution $(\rho(t,x), u(t,x))$ of* (1.1) *satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} & (1+t)^{B+1+\lambda}\Big(\|\partial_t\rho(t)\|^2_{H^{s+m-1}} + \|\partial_x\rho(t)\|^2_{H^{s+m-1}} + \|\partial_x u(t)\|^2_{H^{s+m-1}}\Big) \\ & +(1+t)^{B+2\lambda}\Big(\|\partial_t u(t)\|^2_{H^{s+m-1}}\Big) \\ & +(1+t)^{B}\Big(\|(\rho(t)-1)\|^2 + \|u(t)\|^2\Big) \\ \leq\ & C_{\lambda,\mu,\delta,R}\Big(\|\rho_0\|^2_{H^{s+m}} + \|u_0\|^2_{H^{s+m}}\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Throughout this paper we will denote a generic constant by C which may be different from line to line.

Remark 1.1 *From* (1.3)*, using the Sobolev embedding, for $k = 0, 1, ..., m-1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & (1+t)^{\frac{B+1+\lambda}{2}}\Big(\|\partial_x^k\partial_t\rho(t)\|_\infty + \|\partial_x^{k+1}\rho(t)\|_\infty + \|\partial_x^{k+1}u(t)\|_\infty\Big) \\ & +(1+t)^{\frac{B}{2}+\lambda}\Big(\|\partial_x^k\partial_t u(t)\|_\infty\Big) \\ & +(1+t)^{\frac{B}{2}}\Big(\|(\rho(t)-1)\|_\infty + \|u(t)\|_\infty\Big) \\ \leq\ & C\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

From (1.4), we see that $\|(\rho(t)-1)\|_\infty + \|u(t)\|_\infty \le C\varepsilon(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\lambda}{4}n+\frac{\delta}{2}}$. When $\lambda = 0$, it decays slower than what we expect for $(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}}$ as shown in [28] and [25]. So next, based on the investigation of the properties to the corresponding linear wave equation (3.1) of system (1.1), we have the following further asymptotic behavior of the solution.

Theorem 1.2 *Define $k_c \triangleq \frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda}(n+1) - n - \frac{2\delta}{1-\lambda}$ and $m \ge k_c + 2$. Then under the assumption of Theorem1.1, we have the following asymptotic behavior of the solution (ρ, u) in L^2 and L^∞ norms.*
For ρ:

$$\|\partial_x^k(\rho-1)\|_\infty \le C\varepsilon \begin{cases} (1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)\frac{n+k}{2}} & 0 \le k \le k_c; \\ (1+t)^{-(1+\lambda)\frac{n+1}{2}+\delta} & k_c \le k \le m-2. \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

$$\|\partial_x^k(\rho-1)\| \le C\varepsilon \begin{cases} (1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{k}{2})} & 0 \le k \le k_c + \dfrac{n}{2}; \\ (1+t)^{-(1+\lambda)\frac{n+1}{2}+\delta} & k_c + \dfrac{n}{2} \le k \le s+m-2. \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

While for u, due to the damping, it will decay slower than ρ by a factor $(1+t)^\lambda$. That is

$$\|\partial_x^k u\|_\infty \le C\varepsilon \begin{cases} (1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)\frac{n+k+1}{2}+\lambda} & 0 \le k \le k_c - 1; \\ (1+t)^{-(1+\lambda)\frac{n+1}{2}+\lambda+\delta} & k_c - 1 \le k \le m-3. \end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

$$\|\partial_x^k u\| \le C\varepsilon \begin{cases} (1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{k+1}{2})+\lambda} & 0 \le k \le k_c + \dfrac{n}{2} - 1; \\ (1+t)^{-(1+\lambda)\frac{n+1}{2}+\lambda+\delta} & k_c + \dfrac{n}{2} - 1 \le k \le s+m-3. \end{cases} \tag{1.8}$$

Remark 1.2 *Noting $\delta > 0$ can be arbitrarily small, then $\lim_{\lambda\to 0} k_c = 1^+$. From* (1.5) *and* (1.7)*, we have when $\lambda \to 0$*

$$\|(\rho-1)(t)\|_\infty \le C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}}, \|u(t)\|_\infty \le C(1+t)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}.$$

This coincides with the decay rate that obtained in the non-decayed damping case.

In 3-d Euler equations, denoting $\omega = \nabla \times u$, we will derive the exponential decay of ω in time in L^2 norm under a positive integration assumption on ρ_0. While the solution itself can not decay so fast to its background state. It has a polynomially decayed lower bound. They are presented in the following Theorem.

Theorem 1.3 *Suppose $q_0 \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho_0 dx > 0$. Under the assumption of Theorem1.1, there exists a $t_0 > 0$, depending on λ, μ, R such that when $t \ge t_0$,*

$$\|(\rho-1)(t)\| \ge Cq_0(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}}, \qquad \|u(t)\| \ge Cq_0(1+t)^{-\frac{n+2}{2}}. \tag{1.9}$$

On the other hand, in three dimensions, the L^2 norm of the vorticity ω decays exponentially in time, satisfying the following estimate

$$\|\omega(\cdot,t)\| \le Ce^{-C(1+t)^{1-\lambda}}. \tag{1.10}$$

Remark 1.3 *The idea of proving Theorem1.3 comes from [25], where the authors deal with the case* $n = 3$ *and the damping is non-decayed in time.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we reformulate the Euler equations into a symmetric hyperbolic system. Then based on a fundamental weighted energy inequality in Lemma2.1 and using detailed weighted energy estimates, we prove the global existence of smooth solutions with small data. In Section 3, by investigating the structure to the linear system of (1.1), we give the asymptotic behavior of the solution. In Section 4, we show that the vorticity decay exponentially while the solution itself has a polynomially decayed lower bound. In the Appendix, we give the proof of the fundamental weighted energy inequality.

2 Global Existence

In this Section, First we reformulate system (1.1) to a symmetric system. Remember $c = \sqrt{P'(\rho)} = \rho^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}}$. First we transform (1.1) into the following system

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{2}{\gamma-1}\partial_t c + c\nabla\cdot u + \dfrac{2}{\gamma-1}u\nabla\cdot c = 0, \\ \partial_t u + u\cdot\nabla u + \dfrac{2}{\gamma-1}c\nabla c + \dfrac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}u = 0, \\ c|_{t=0} = 1 + c_0(x), u|_{t=0} = u_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

where $c_0(x) \in \mathbb{R}$, supported in $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n || x| \le R\}$.

Let $v = \frac{2}{\gamma-1}(c-1)$, then (v,u) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \nabla\cdot u = -u\cdot\nabla v - \dfrac{\gamma-1}{2}v\nabla\cdot u, \\ \partial_t u + \nabla v + \dfrac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}u = -u\cdot\nabla u - \dfrac{\gamma-1}{2}v\nabla v, \\ v|_{t=0} = v_0(x), u|_{t=0} = u_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

where $v_0(x) = \frac{2}{\gamma-1}c_0(x)$.

2.1 A fundamental weighted energy inequality

From (2.2), we have

$$\partial_{tt}v - \Delta v + \frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}\partial_t v = Q(v,u), \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$Q(v,u) = \frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}(-u\cdot\nabla v - \frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\nabla\cdot u)$$

$$- \quad \partial_t(u\cdot\nabla v-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\nabla\cdot u)+\nabla\cdot(u\cdot\nabla u+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\nabla v).$$

In the following, we will obtain a fundamental weighted energy inequality about (2.3). This technique comes from Nishihara[18]. Introduce the weight

$$e^{2\psi},\quad \psi(t,x)=a\frac{|x|^2}{(1+t)^{1+\lambda}},$$

where $a=\frac{(1+\lambda)\mu}{8}\Big(1-\frac{\delta}{(1+\lambda)n}\Big)$ and δ is described in Theorem1.1. For simplification of notation, we denote

$$J(t;g)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{2\psi}g^2(t,x)dx,\quad J_\psi(t;g)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{2\psi}(-\psi_t)g^2(t,x)dx.$$

Lemma 2.1 *Denote $B=\frac{(1+\lambda)n}{2}-\delta$, where $\delta\in(0,\frac{(1+\lambda)n}{2}]$ can be arbitrarily small. Then the equation* (2.3) *has the following weighted energy inequality.*

$$\begin{aligned}&(1+t)^{B+1+\lambda}\Big[J(t;v_t)+J(t;|\partial_x v|)\Big]+(1+t)^BJ(t;v)\\&\quad+\int_0^t(1+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda}\Big[J_\psi(\tau;v_\tau)+J_\psi(\tau;|\nabla v|)\Big]d\tau\\&\quad+\int_0^t\Big[(1+\tau)^{B+1}J(\tau,v_\tau)+(1+\tau)^{B+\lambda}J(\tau,|\nabla v|)\Big]d\tau\\&\quad+\int_0^t\Big[(1+\tau)^BJ_\psi(\tau,v)+(1+\tau)^{B-1}J(\tau,v)\Big]d\tau\\\le\ & C\|(v_0,u_0)\|_{H^1}^2+CG(t),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$G(t)\triangleq\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{2\psi}\Big\{\big[(1+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda}v_\tau+(1+\tau)^{B+\lambda}v\big]Q(v,u)\Big\}dxd\tau,$$

and C depends on λ,μ,δ,R.

Proof. We give the proof of Lemma 2.1 in the Appendix. □

2.2 Some a priori weighted energy estimates

Define the weighted Sobolev space $H_\psi^{s+m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as

$$H_\psi^{s+m}(\mathbb{R}^n)=\{f|e^\psi\partial_x^kf\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),0\le k\le s+m,k\in\mathbb{N}\}$$

with its norm

$$\|f\|_{H_\psi^{s+m}}=\sum_{k=0}^{s+m}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\partial_x^kf)^2e^{2\psi}dx\Big)^{\frac12}.$$

We define the weighted energy as follows

$$\begin{aligned} E^{\psi}_{s+m}(T) &= : \sup_{0<t<T}\Big\{(1+t)^{B+1+\lambda}\big(\|v_t(t)\|^2_{H^{s+m-1}_{\psi}}+\|\partial_x v(t)\|^2_{H^{s+m-1}_{\psi}}+\|\partial_x u(t)\|^2_{H^{s+m-1}_{\psi}}\big) \\ &\quad +(1+t)^{B}\big(\|v(t)\|^2_{L^2_{\psi}}+\|u(t)\|^2_{L^2_{\psi}}\big)\Big\}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Because the initial data is compactly supported, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E^{\psi}_{s+m}(0) &\le C\Big(\|(v_t(0),\partial_x v(0),\partial_x u(0))\|_{H^{s+m-1}}+\|(v(0),u(0))\|\Big) \\ &\le C\|(v_0,u_0)\|_{H^{s+m}}\le C\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

In the following, we will estimate (v,u) under the a priori assumption

$$E^{\psi}_{s+m}(t)\le M\varepsilon, \tag{2.4}$$

where M, independent of ε, will be determined later. By choosing M large and ε sufficient small, we will prove

$$E^{\psi}_{s+m}(t)\le \frac{1}{2}M\varepsilon. \tag{2.5}$$

We will first obtain some a prior estimates for the 1-order derivatives. Then the higher derivatives will be handled in a similar way. From (2.4), by Sobolev embedding, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big\{(1+t)^{\frac{B}{2}}\|(v(t),u(t))\|_{L^\infty}+(1+t)^{\frac{B+1+\lambda}{2}}\|(v_t(t),\partial_x v(t),\partial_x u(t))\|_{L^\infty}\Big\} \\ \le\ & CE^{\psi}_{[n/2]+2}(t)\le CM\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

From $(2.2)_2$, we see

$$\begin{aligned} &(1+t)^{B/2+\lambda}\|u_t(t)\|_{L^\infty} \\ \le\ & (1+t)^{B/2+\lambda}\Big\{\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty}+(1+t)^{-\lambda}\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty}+\|u\cdot\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}+\|v\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\Big\} \\ \le\ & CM\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Now for simplification of notation in our later computation, we introduce

$$\begin{aligned} &P_0(t) \\ \triangleq\ & P(t;v,u,v_t,u_t,\partial_x v,\partial_x u) \\ \triangleq\ & (1+t)^{B+1+\lambda}\Big[J_\psi(t;v_t)+J_\psi(t;|\partial_x v|)+J_\psi(t;|\partial_x u|)\Big] \\ &+(1+t)^{B+1}\big[J(t;v_t)+J(t;|\partial_x u|)\big]+(1+t)^{B+\lambda}\big[J(t;|u_t|)+J(t;|\partial_x v|)\big] \\ &+(1+t)^{B}\big[J_\psi(t;v)+J_\psi(t;|u|)\big] \\ &+(1+t)^{B-\lambda}J(t;|u|)+(1+t)^{B-1}J(t;v). \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

In the following, we will give three estimates for the first order derivatives under the a prior assumption, which can reflect our idea of proving the (2.5).

Estimate 1

Lemma 2.2 *Under the a prior assumption* (2.4)*, we have the following estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} G(t) &\leq CM\varepsilon(1+t)^{B+1+\lambda}\big[J(t;|v_t|)+J(t;|\partial_x v|)\big] \\ &\quad +C\varepsilon\Big(E_1^{\psi}(0)\Big)^2+CM\varepsilon\int_0^t P_0(\tau)d\tau, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where $P_0(\tau)$ *is defined in* (2.8)*.*

Proof. Remember the formulation of $Q(v,u)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(t) &= \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\psi}\Big((1+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda}v_\tau+(1+\tau)^{B+\lambda}v\Big)\times \\ &\quad \Big\{\underbrace{\frac{\mu}{(1+\tau)^{\lambda}}\Big(-u\cdot\nabla v-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\nabla\cdot u\Big)}_{I_1} \\ &\quad \underbrace{-\Big(\partial_\tau(u\cdot\nabla v)+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}\partial_\tau(v\nabla\cdot u)\Big)}_{I_2} \\ &\quad \underbrace{+\Big(\nabla\cdot(u\cdot\nabla u)+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}\nabla\cdot(v\nabla v)\Big)}_{I_3}\Big\}dxd\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate $I_i(i=1,2,3)$ term by term under the a priori estimate (2.4).
Using (2.6), (2.7) and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \mu\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\psi}(1+\tau)^{B+1}v_\tau\Big(-u\cdot\nabla v-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\nabla\cdot u\Big)dxd\tau \\ &\quad +\mu\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(1+\tau)^{B}e^{2\psi}v\Big(-u\cdot\nabla v-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\nabla\cdot u\Big)dxd\tau \\ &\leq C\|(1+\tau)^{\frac{1+\lambda}{2}}\nabla v(\tau)\|_\infty\int_0^t\Big[(1+\tau)^{B+1}J(\tau;v_\tau)+(1+\tau)^{B-\lambda}J(\tau;|u|)\Big]d\tau \\ &\quad +C\|v(\tau)\|_\infty\int_0^t\Big[(1+\tau)^{B+1}J(\tau;v_\tau)+(1+\tau)^{B+1}J(\tau;|\nabla u|)\Big]d\tau \\ &\quad +C\|v(\tau)\|_\infty\int_0^t\Big[(1+\tau)^{B-\lambda}J(\tau;|u|)+(1+\tau)^{B+\lambda}J(\tau;|\nabla v|)\Big]d\tau \\ &\quad +C\|v(\tau)\|_\infty\int_0^t\Big[(1+\tau)^{B-1}J(\tau;v)+(1+\tau)^{B-1}J(\tau;|\nabla u|)\Big]d\tau \\ &\leq CM\varepsilon\int_0^t P_0(\tau)d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

And

$$I_2 = -\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\psi}\Big((1+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda}v_\tau+(1+\tau)^{B+\lambda}v\Big)\times$$

$$\Big\{\big(u_\tau\cdot\nabla v+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v_\tau\nabla\cdot u\big)$$
$$+\underbrace{\Big(u\cdot\nabla v_\tau\Big)}_{I_{2,1}}+\underbrace{\Big(\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\nabla\cdot u_\tau\Big)}_{I_{2,2}}\Big\}dxd\tau$$
$$\leq\ CM\varepsilon\int_0^t P_0(\tau)d\tau+I_{2,1}+I_{2,2}. \tag{2.11}$$

Next we use integration by parts to estimate $I_{2,1}$ and $I_{2,2}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{2,1} &= -\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\psi}\Big((1+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda}v_\tau+(1+\tau)^{B+\lambda}v\Big)\Big(u\cdot\nabla v_\tau\Big)dxd\tau\\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\psi}(1+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda}u\cdot\nabla v_\tau^2dxd\tau-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\psi}(1+\tau)^{B+\lambda}vu\cdot\nabla v_\tau dxd\tau\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\psi}(1+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda}v_\tau^2(\nabla\cdot u+\underbrace{2u\cdot\nabla\psi}_{I_{2,1}^1})dxd\tau\\
&\quad+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\psi}(1+\tau)^{B+\lambda}v_\tau(v\nabla\cdot u+u\cdot\nabla v+\underbrace{2vu\cdot\nabla\psi}_{I_{2,1}^2})dxd\tau\\
&\leq\ CM\varepsilon\int_0^t P_0(\tau)d\tau+I_{2,1}^1+I_{2,1}^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.12}$$

Noting (5.2), we have

$$|\nabla\psi|\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}(-\psi_t)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.13}$$

Using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&|I_{2,1}^1|+|I_{2,1}^2|\\
&\leq\ C\|(1+\tau)^{\frac{1+\lambda}{2}}v_\tau\|_{L^\infty}\int_0^t\big[(1+\tau)^{B+1}J(\tau;v_\tau)+(1+\tau)^BJ_\psi(\tau;|u|)\big]dxd\tau\\
&\leq\ C\|(1+\tau)^{\frac{1+\lambda}{2}}v_\tau\|_{L^\infty}\int_0^t\big[(1+\tau)^{B-1}J(\tau;v)+(1+\tau)^BJ_\psi(\tau;|u|)\big]dxd\tau\\
&\leq\ CM\varepsilon\int_0^t P_0(\tau)d\tau.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.14}$$

Combing (2.12) and (2.14), we have

$$|I_{2,1}|\leq CM\varepsilon\int_0^t P_0(\tau)d\tau. \tag{2.15}$$

For $I_{2,2}$, we have

$$I_{2,2}\ =\ \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\psi}\Big((1+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda}v_\tau+(1+\tau)^{B+\lambda}v\Big)\Big(\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\nabla\cdot u_\tau\Big)dxd\tau$$

$$= C\underbrace{\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\psi}(1+\tau)^{B+\lambda}v^2\nabla\cdot u_\tau dxd\tau}_{I^1_{2,2}}$$
$$+C\underbrace{\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\psi}(1+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda}v_\tau v\nabla\cdot u_\tau dxd\tau}_{I^2_{2,2}}. \tag{2.16}$$

Using integration by parts, (2.13) and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I^1_{2,2} &= -C\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\psi}(1+\tau)^{B+\lambda}u_\tau\cdot(2v\nabla\cdot v+2v^2\nabla\psi)dxd\tau \\ &\le CM\varepsilon\int_0^t P_0(\tau)d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

For the estimate of $I^2_{2,2}$, we use (2.2) and integration by parts. From $(2.2)_1$, we have

$$\nabla\cdot u = -\frac{v_t+u\cdot\nabla v}{1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v}, \tag{2.18}$$

$$\nabla\cdot u_t = -\frac{v_{tt}+u_t\cdot\nabla v+u\cdot\nabla v_t}{1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v}+\frac{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v_t(v_t+u\cdot\nabla v)}{(1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v)^2}. \tag{2.19}$$

From (2.6), we have

$$\frac{1}{1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v}\le\frac{1}{1-CM\varepsilon}\le 2. \tag{2.20}$$

Inserting (2.19) and (2.20) into $I^2_{2,2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I^2_{2,2} &= \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\psi}(1+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda}v_\tau v\nabla\cdot u_\tau dxd\tau \\ &= \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\psi}(1+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda}v_\tau v\Big\{-\frac{v_{\tau\tau}+u_\tau\cdot\nabla v+u\cdot\nabla v_\tau}{1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v} \\ &\qquad +\frac{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v_\tau(v_\tau+u\cdot\nabla v)}{(1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v)^2}\Big\}dxd\tau \\ &\le \underbrace{-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\psi}(1+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda}\frac{vv_\tau v_{\tau\tau}}{1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v}dxd\tau}_{I^{2,1}_{2,2}} \\ &\quad \underbrace{-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\psi}(1+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda}\frac{vv_\tau u\cdot\nabla v_\tau}{1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v}dxd\tau}_{I^{2,2}_{2,2}} \\ &\quad +CM\varepsilon\int_0^t P_0(\tau)d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Using integration by parts in time and (2.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2,2}^{2,1} &= -\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\psi}(1+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda}\frac{v(v_\tau^2)_\tau}{2\big(1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\big)}dxd\tau \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\psi}(1+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda}\frac{vv_\tau^2}{2\big(1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\big)}\Big|_{\tau=0}^{\tau=t}dx \\ &\quad +\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\psi}v_\tau^2\Big\{(1+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda}\partial_\tau\Big(\frac{v}{2\big(1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\big)}\Big) \\ &\qquad +(B+1+\lambda)(1+\tau)^{B+\lambda}\frac{v}{2\big(1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\big)} \\ &\qquad +2\psi_t(1+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda}\frac{v}{2\big(1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\big)}\Big\}dxd\tau \\ &\le CM\varepsilon(1+t)^{B+1+\lambda}J(t;v_t)+C\varepsilon\Big(E_1^\psi(0)\Big)^2+CM\varepsilon\int_0^t P_0(\tau)d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2,2}^{2,2} &= -\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\psi}(1+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda}\frac{v}{2\big(1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\big)}u\cdot\nabla v_\tau^2dxd\tau \\ &= \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\psi}(1+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda}v_\tau^2\Big\{u\cdot\nabla\Big(\frac{v}{2\big(1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\big)}\Big) \\ &\qquad +\frac{v}{2\big(1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\big)}\nabla\cdot u \\ &\qquad +\frac{v}{1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v}u\nabla\psi\Big\}dxd\tau \\ &\le CM\varepsilon\int_0^t P_0(\tau)d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

From (2.21), (2.22) and (2.23), we have

$$I_{2,2}^2 \le CM\varepsilon(1+t)^{B+1+\lambda}J(t;v_t)+C\varepsilon\Big(E_1^\psi(0)\Big)^2+CM\varepsilon\int_0^t P_0\tau)d\tau.$$

Summing all the estimates about I_2, we can get

$$I_2 \le CM\varepsilon(1+t)^{B+1+\lambda}J(t;v_t)+C\varepsilon\Big(E_1^\psi(0)\Big)^2+CM\varepsilon\int_0^t P_0(\tau)d\tau. \tag{2.24}$$

The estimate of I_3 will be essentially the same with I_2, actually we can get

$$I_3 \le CM\varepsilon(1+t)^{B+1+\lambda}J(t;|\partial_x v|)+C\varepsilon\Big(E_1^\psi(0)\Big)^2+CM\varepsilon\int_0^t P_0(\tau)d\tau. \tag{2.25}$$

Combing the estiamtes (2.10), (2.24) and (2.25), we get the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} G(t) &\leq CM\varepsilon(1+t)^{B+1+\lambda}\big[J(t;|v_t|)+J(t;|\partial_x v|)\big] \\ &\quad +C\varepsilon\Big(E_1^{\psi}(0)\Big)^2+CM\varepsilon\int_0^t P_0(\tau)d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof of the Lemma. □

Combing Lemma2.1 and Lemma2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(1+t)^{B+1+\lambda}\Big[J(t;v_t)+J(t;|\nabla v|)\Big]+(1+t)^B J(t;v) \\ &\quad+\int_0^t(1+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda}\Big[J_\psi(\tau;v_\tau)+J_\psi(\tau;|\nabla v|)\Big]d\tau \\ &\quad+\int_0^t\Big[(1+\tau)^{B+1}J(\tau,v_\tau)+(1+\tau)^{B+\lambda}J(\tau,|\nabla v|)\Big]d\tau \\ &\quad+\int_0^t\Big[(1+\tau)^B J_\psi(\tau,v)+(1+\tau)^{B-1}J(\tau,v)\Big]d\tau \\ \leq\ & C\Big(E_1^{\psi}(0)\Big)^2+CM\varepsilon\int_0^t P_0(\tau)d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Estimate 2

Next we consider the weighted L^2 norm of (v,u). We have the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.3 *Under the a prior assumption* (2.4)*, we have the following estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} &(1+t)^B\big[J(t;v)+J(t;|u|)\big] \\ &+\int_0^t(1+\tau)^B J_\psi(\tau;|u|)d\tau+\int_0^t(1+\tau)^{B-\lambda}J(\tau;|u|)d\tau \\ &-C\int_0^t\big[(1+\tau)^B J_\psi(\tau;v)+(1+\tau)^{B-1}J(\tau;v)\big]d\tau \\ \leq\ & C\big(J(0;v)+J(0;|u|)\big)+CM\varepsilon\int_0^t P_0(\tau)d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

where $P_0(\tau)$ is defined in (2.8)*.*

Proof. Multiplying $(2.2)_2$ by $(K+t)^B e^{2\psi}u$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t\Big[(K+t)^B\frac{e^{2\psi}}{2}|u|^2\Big]+e^{2\psi}(K+t)^B(-\psi_t)|u|^2 \\ &\Big(\frac{\mu(K+t)^B}{(1+t)^\lambda}-\frac{B(K+t)^{B-1}}{2}\Big)e^{2\psi}|u|^2+(K+t)^B e^{2\psi}u\cdot\nabla v \\ =\ & (K+t)^B e^{2\psi}u\cdot(-u\cdot\nabla u-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\nabla v). \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

Integrating (2.28) on $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,t]$ and choosing K be large, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
&(K+t)^B J(t;|u|) + \int_0^t (K+\tau)^B J_\psi(\tau;|u|)d\tau + \int_0^t (K+\tau)^{B-\lambda} J(\tau;|u|)d\tau \\
&+C\underbrace{\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\psi}(K+\tau)^B u\cdot\nabla v dxd\tau}_{I_1} \\
\leq\ & C\underbrace{\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(K+\tau)^B e^{2\psi}u\cdot(-u\cdot\nabla u-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\nabla v)dxd\tau}_{I_2} + CJ(0;|u|). \qquad (2.29)
\end{aligned}$$

We come to estimate I_1 and I_2.
Using integration by parts and $(2.2)_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= -\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(K+\tau)^B e^{2\psi}v(\nabla\cdot u+2u\cdot\nabla\psi)dxd\tau \\
&= \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(K+\tau)^B e^{2\psi}v(v_\tau+u\cdot\nabla v+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\nabla\cdot u-2u\cdot\nabla\psi)dxd\tau \\
&= \underbrace{\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big\{\partial_\tau\Big[(K+\tau)^B e^{2\psi}\frac{v^2}{2}\Big]+(K+\tau)^B e^{2\psi}(-\psi_\tau)v^2-B/2(K+\tau)^{B-1}e^{2\psi}v^2\Big\}dxd\tau}_{I_{1,1}} \\
&\quad+\underbrace{\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(K+\tau)^B e^{2\psi}v(u\cdot\nabla v+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\nabla\cdot u)dxd\tau}_{I_{1,2}} \\
&\quad-2\underbrace{\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(K+\tau)^B e^{2\psi}vu\cdot\nabla\psi dxd\tau}_{I_{1,3}}. \qquad (2.30)
\end{aligned}$$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{1,1} &= 1/2(K+t)^B J(t;v) - CJ(0;v) \\
&\quad+\int_0^t(K+\tau)^B J_\psi(\tau;v)d\tau - B/2\int_0^t(K+\tau)^{B-1}J(\tau;v)d\tau. \qquad (2.31)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_{1,2}| &\leq \|(K+t)^{(1+\lambda)/2}\partial_x v(t)\|_{L^\infty}\int_0^t\big[(K+t)^{B-\lambda}J(\tau;u)+(K+\tau)^{B-1}J(\tau;v)\big]d\tau \\
&\quad+\|(K+t)^{(B+1)/2}\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\int_0^t(K+t)^{B-1}J(\tau;v)d\tau \\
&\leq CM\varepsilon\int_0^t P_0(\tau)d\tau. \qquad (2.32)
\end{aligned}$$

Using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and (5.2), we obtain

$$|I_{1,3}| \leq \nu \int_0^t (K+t)^{B-\lambda} J(\tau;|u|)d\tau + C_\nu \int_0^t (K+t)^B J_\psi(\tau;v)d\tau, \tag{2.33}$$

where ν is a small number. Combing (2.30) $-$ (2.33), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 \geq\ & 1/2(K+t)^B J(t;v) - CJ(0;v) \\ & + \int_0^t (K+\tau)^B J_\psi(\tau;v)d\tau - B/2\int_0^t (K+\tau)^{B-1} J(\tau;v)d\tau \\ & -\nu\int_0^t (K+t)^{B-\lambda} J(\tau;|u|)d\tau - C_\nu \int_0^t (K+t)^B J_\psi(\tau;v)d\tau \\ & -CM\varepsilon\int_0^t P_0(\tau)d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

I_2 can be done the same with $I_{1,2}$, so, we have

$$|I_2| \leq CM\varepsilon\int_0^t P_0(\tau)d\tau. \tag{2.35}$$

Combing (2.29), (2.34) and (2.35), by choosing ν small, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (K+t)^B\big[J(t;v)+J(t;|u|)\big] \\ & + \int_0^t (K+\tau)^B J_\psi(\tau;|u|)d\tau + \int_0^t (K+\tau)^{B-\lambda} J(\tau;|u|)d\tau \\ & -C\int_0^t \big[(K+t)^B J_\psi(\tau;v) + (K+t)^{B-1}J(t;v)\big]d\tau \\ \leq\ & C\big(J(0;v)+J(0;|u|)\big) + CM\varepsilon\int_0^t P_0(\tau)d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of Lemma2.3. □

Estimate 3

Next we consider the weighted L^2 norm of $(\partial_x v, \partial_x u)$. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4 *Under the a prior assumption* (2.4)*, we have the following estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} & (1+t)^{B+1+\lambda}\big[J(t;|\partial_x v|)+J(t;|\partial_x u|)\big] \\ & + \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda} J_\psi(\tau;|\partial_x u|)d\tau + \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{B+1} J(\tau;|\partial_x u|)d\tau \\ & -C\int_0^t \big[(1+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda} J_\psi(\tau;|\partial_x v|) + (1+\tau)^{B+\lambda} J(\tau;|\partial_x v|)\big]d\tau \\ \leq\ & C\Big(E_1^\psi(0)\Big)^2 + CM\varepsilon\int_0^t P_0(\tau)d\tau, \end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

where $P_0(\tau)$ is defined in (2.8)*.*

Proof. By differentiating $(2.2)_2$ with respect to x and then multiplying it with $(K+t)^{B+1+\lambda}e^{2\psi}\partial_x u$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t\Big[(K+t)^{B+1+\lambda}e^{2\psi}\frac{|\partial_x u|^2}{2}\Big]+e^{2\psi}(K+t)^{B+1+\lambda}(-\psi_t)|\partial_x u|^2\\
&\quad+\Big[\Big(\mu\frac{(K+t)^\lambda}{(1+t)^\lambda}-\frac{B+1+\lambda}{2}(K+t)^{\lambda-1}\Big)(K+t)^{B+1}e^{2\psi}|\partial_x u|^2\Big]\\
&\quad+(K+t)^{B+1+\lambda}e^{2\psi}\partial_x u\cdot\nabla\partial_x v\\
=\;&(K+t)^{B+1+\lambda}e^{2\psi}\partial_x u\cdot\partial_x(-u\cdot\nabla u-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\nabla v).
\end{aligned}\tag{2.37}$$

By choosing K large and integrating (2.37) on $\mathbb{R}^n\times[0,t]$, we can obtain the estimate (2.36) by using the same trick as that in the proof of Lemma2.3. We omit the detail. □

Estimate 4

From $(2.2)_2$, we can easily have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t(1+\tau)^{B+\lambda}J(\tau;|u_\tau|)d\tau\\
\le\;&\int_0^t(1+\tau)^{B+\lambda}J(\tau;|\partial_x v|)d\tau+\int_0^t(1+\tau)^{B-\lambda}J(\tau;|u|)d\tau+CM\varepsilon\int_0^t P_0(\tau)d\tau.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.38}$$

Adding (2.26) to $\nu_1\cdot(2.27)+\nu_2\cdot(2.36)+\nu_3\cdot(2.38)$, where $\nu_1,\nu_2\ll 1$ and $\nu_3\ll\nu_1$, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(E_1^\psi(t)\Big)^2+\int_0^t P_0(\tau)d\tau\\
\le\;&\Big(E_1^\psi(0)\Big)^2+CM\varepsilon\int_0^t P_0(\tau)d\tau.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.39}$$

2.3 Proof of Theorem1.1

Proof. Actually the estimate (2.39) can be obtained for higher derivatives. Define $P_k(t)$ the same as P_0.

$$\begin{aligned}
&P_k(t)\\
\triangleq\;&P(t;\partial_x^k v,\partial_x^k u,\partial_x^k v_t,\partial_x^k u_t,\partial_x\partial_x^k v,\partial_x\partial_x^k u)\\
\triangleq\;&(1+t)^{B+1+\lambda}\Big[J_\psi(t;\partial_x^k v_t)+J_\psi(t;|\partial_x\partial_x^k v|)+J_\psi(t;|\partial_x\partial_x^k u|)\Big]\\
&+(1+t)^{B+1}\big[J(t;\partial_x^k v_t)+J(t;|\partial_x\partial_x^k u|)\big]+(1+t)^{B+\lambda}\big[J(t;|\partial_x^k u_t|)+J(t;|\partial_x\partial_x^k v|)\big]\\
&+(1+t)^{B}\big[J_\psi(t;\partial_x^k v)+J_\psi(t;|\partial_x^k u|)\big]\\
&+(1+t)^{B-\lambda}J(t;|\partial_x^k u|)+(1+t)^{B-1}J(t;\partial_x^k v).
\end{aligned}$$

For any $l \in \mathbb{N}$, differentiating (2.3) l times with respect to space variable x, we have

$$\partial_{tt}\partial_x^l v - \Delta\partial_x^l v + \frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}\partial_t\partial_x^l v = \partial_x^l Q(v,u). \tag{2.40}$$

Then do the same estimate as shown in **Estimate 1**. We can get the following similar estimate to (2.26)

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1+t)^{B+1+\lambda}\big[J(t;\partial_x^l v_t) + J(t;|\partial_x\partial_x^l v|)\big]\\
&+\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda}\big[J_\psi(\tau;\partial_x^l v_\tau) + J_\psi(\tau;(|\partial_x\partial_x^l v|)\big]d\tau\\
&+\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{B+1}J(\tau;\partial_x^l v_\tau) + (1+\tau)^{B+\lambda}J(\tau;|\partial_x\partial_x^l v|)d\tau\\
&+\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{B}J_\psi(\tau,\partial_x^l v) + (1+\tau)^{B-1}J(\tau;\partial_x^l v)d\tau\\
\leq\ & CE_{l+1}^\psi(0) + CM\varepsilon\sum_{k=0}^{l}\int_0^t P_k(\tau)d\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

Differentiating $(2.2)_2$ l times with respect to x, multiplying it by $(K+t)^B e^{2\psi}\partial_x^l u$ and doing the same estimate as in **Estimate 2**, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1+t)^{B}\big[J(t;\partial_x^l v) + J(t;|\partial_x^l u|)\big]\\
&+\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{B}J_\psi(\tau;|\partial_x^l u|)d\tau + \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{B-\lambda}J(\tau;|\partial_x^l u|)d\tau\\
&-C\int_0^t \big[(1+\tau)^{B}J_\psi(\tau;\partial_x^l v) + (1+\tau)^{B-1}J(\tau;\partial_x^l v)\big]d\tau\\
\leq\ & CE_l^\psi(0) + CM\varepsilon\sum_{k=0}^{l-1}\int_0^t P_k(\tau)d\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.42}$$

Differentiating $(2.2)_2$ $l+1$ times with respect to x, multiplying it by $(K+t)^{B+1+\lambda}e^{2\psi}\partial_x^{l+1} u$ and doing the same estimate as in **Estimate 3**, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1+t)^{B+1+\lambda}\big[J(t;|\partial_x\partial_x^l v|) + J(t;|\partial_x\partial_x^l u|)\big]\\
&+\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda}J_\psi(\tau;|\partial_x\partial_x^l u|)d\tau + \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{B+1}J(\tau;|\partial_x\partial_x^l u|)d\tau\\
&-C\int_0^t \big[(1+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda}J_\psi(\tau;|\partial_x\partial_x^l v|) + (1+\tau)^{B+\lambda}J(\tau;|\partial_x\partial_x^l v|)\big]d\tau\\
\leq\ & CE_{l+1}^\psi(0) + CM\varepsilon\sum_{k=0}^{l}\int_0^t P_k(\tau)d\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.43}$$

From $(2.2)_2$, we also have

$$\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{B+\lambda}J(\tau;|\partial_x^l u_\tau|)d\tau$$

$$\begin{aligned} \leq & \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{B+\lambda} J(\tau; |\partial_x \partial_x^l v|) d\tau + \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{B-\lambda} J(\tau; |\partial_x^l u|) d\tau \\ & + CM\varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{l} \int_0^t P_k(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{2.44}$$

Adding (2.41) $-$ (2.44) together the same as (2.39) for l from 0 to $s+m-1$ together. Then there exists a C_0, depending on λ, μ, δ and R such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Big(E_{s+m}^{\psi}(t)\Big)^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{s+m-1} \int_0^t P_k(\tau) d\tau \\ \leq & \; C\Big(E_{s+m}^{\psi}(0)\Big)^2 + CM\varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{s+m-1} \int_0^t P_k(\tau) d\tau. \\ \leq & \; C_0^2\varepsilon^2 + C_0 M\varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{s+m-1} \int_0^t P_k(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Let $M = 2C_0$ and $C_0 M\varepsilon < 1$. Then we obtain

$$E_{s+m}^{\psi}(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} M\varepsilon.$$

The local existence of symmetrizable hyperbolic equations have been proved by using the fixed point theorem. In order to get the global existence of the system, we only need a priori estimate. Based on the a priori estimate (2.4), we yield (2.5). This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

3 Asymptotic Behavior of the Solution

In this Section, we restudy the decay rate of the smooth solution to system (1.1) and obtain the asymptotic behavior of the solution to the background state $(1,0)^\tau$. For this purpose, we consider the linear equation of (2.3)

$$w_{tt} - \Delta w + \frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda} w_t = f. \tag{3.1}$$

This equation involves in the study of the Cauchy problem to the wave equation with time-dependent damping.

$$\begin{cases} w_{tt} - \Delta w + \dfrac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda} w_t = f, \\ v(0,x) = w_0(x), \quad w_t(0,x) = w_1(x). \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

where $0 < \lambda < 1, 0 < \mu$.

3.1 Decay rate of solutions to the linear wave equation

If we apply the partial Fourier transform with respect to the space variables to (3.2), we can get

$$\begin{cases} \hat{w}_{tt} + |\xi|^2 \hat{w} + \dfrac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda} \hat{w}_t = \hat{f}, \\ \hat{w}(0,\xi) = \hat{w}_0(\xi), \quad \hat{w}_t(0,\xi) = \hat{w}_1(\xi), \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

where ξ is the frequency parameter. The solution of (3.3) can be represented by the sum of the solution of the following two equations

$$\begin{cases} \hat{w}^1_{tt} + |\xi|^2 \hat{w}^1 + \dfrac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda} \hat{w}^1_t = 0, \\ \hat{w}(0,\xi) = \hat{w}_0(\xi), \quad \hat{w}_t(0,\xi) = \hat{w}_1(\xi), \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \hat{w}^2_{tt} + |\xi|^2 \hat{w}^2 + \dfrac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda} \hat{w}^2_t = \hat{f}, \\ \hat{w}(0,x) = 0, \quad \hat{w}_t(0,x) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

The solution of (3.4) can be represented in the form

$$\hat{w}^1(t,\xi) = \Phi_1(t,\xi)\hat{w}_0(\xi) + \Phi_2(t,\xi)\hat{w}_1(\xi).$$

And The solution of (3.5) can be represented in the form

$$\hat{w}^2(t,\xi) = \int_0^t \Phi_2(t-\tau,\xi)\hat{f}(\xi)d\xi.$$

$\Phi_1(t,\xi)$ and $\Phi_2(t,\xi)$ are the fundamental solutions of $(3.4)_1$ satisfying

$$\Phi_1(0,\xi) = 1, \quad \partial_t\Phi_1(0,\xi) = 0,$$

$$\Phi_2(0,\xi) = 0, \quad \partial_t\Phi_2(0,\xi) = 1.$$

If we set

$$K_i(t,x) = \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi\to x}[\Phi_i(t,\xi)] \qquad i = 1,2.$$

Then the solution of (3.3) can be represented by

$$\begin{aligned} w(t,x) &= (K_1(t,\cdot) * w_0(\cdot))(x) + (K_2(t,\cdot) * w_1(\cdot))(x) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (K_2(t-\tau,\cdot) * f(\cdot))(x)d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

The asymptotic behavior of $\Phi_i(t,\xi)(i = 1,2)$ with respect to time has already been investigated carefully in Wirth [27]. Here we will give the most important Theorem there for our use. Before that ,we need to introduce some notations.

In the space $(t,\xi)\in(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)$, denote Z_1, Z_2 and Z_3 as follows.

$$Z_1=\Big\{(t,\xi):|\xi|\le\frac{1}{4}\frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}\Big\},$$

$$Z_2=\Big\{(t,\xi):|\xi|\ge\frac{1}{4}\frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}\Big\}\cap\Big\{(t,\xi):|\xi|\le 1\Big\},$$

$$Z_3=\Big\{(t,\xi):|\xi|\ge\frac{1}{4}\frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}\Big\}\cap\Big\{(t,\xi):|\xi|\ge 1\Big\}.$$

Lemma 3.1 (Theorem17 of [27]) *There exists a constant C_0, depending on λ,μ such that Φ_i has the following estimates in different zones*

When $(t,\xi)\in Z_1$,

$$|\Phi_i(t,\xi)|\le C_0e^{-C_0|\xi|^2(1+t)^{1-\lambda}}. \tag{3.7}$$

When $(t,\xi)\in Z_2$,

$$|\Phi_i(t,\xi)|\le C_0e^{-C_0(1+t)^{1-\lambda}}e^{C_0(1-|\xi|^2)(1+t_\xi)^{1-\lambda}}, \tag{3.8}$$

where t_ξ is the upper boundary line of the zone Z_1, which means

$$|\xi|=\frac{1}{4}\frac{\mu}{(1+t_\xi)^\lambda}. \tag{3.9}$$

When $(t,\xi)\in Z_3$,

$$|\Phi_i(t,\xi)|\le C_0e^{-C_0(1+t)^{1-\lambda}}. \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. This is a direct result of Theorem17 in Wirth[27]. We omit the detail. □

Next we give two integral properties of Φ_i in the phase space ξ.

Lemma 3.2

$$\|\xi^\alpha\Phi_i(t,\xi)\|_{L^1(Z_j)}\le C(1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)(n/2+|\alpha|/2)}, \tag{3.11}$$

$$\|\xi^\alpha\Phi_i(t,\xi)\|_{L^2(Z_j)}\le C(1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)(n/4+|\alpha|/2)}, \tag{3.12}$$

where $i,j=1,2$.

Proof. In the zone Z_1, using (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\xi^\alpha\Phi_i(t,\xi)\|_{L^1(Z_1)}\\
\le&\int\limits_{\xi\in Z_1}|\xi|^\alpha|\Phi_i(t,\xi)|d\xi\\
\le&\ C\int_0^\infty|\xi|^{n-1+\alpha}e^{-C_0|\xi|^2(1+t)^{1-\lambda}}d|\xi|\\
\le&\ C(1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)(n/2+|\alpha|/2)}\int_0^\infty s^{n-1+|\alpha|}e^{-C_0s^2}ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \quad C(1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)(n/2+|\alpha|/2)}.$$

Integration in Z_2 will use the representation of t_ξ. From (3.7), we have $1+t_\xi = \left(\frac{4}{\mu}|\xi|\right)^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}}$. Then using (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\xi^\alpha \Phi_i(t,\xi)\|_{L^1(Z_2)} \\
\leq \quad & C_0 e^{-C_0(1+t)^{1-\lambda}} \int\limits_{\xi\in Z_2} |\xi|^\alpha e^{C_0(1-|\xi|^2)\left(\frac{4}{\mu}|\xi|\right)^{-\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}}} d\xi \\
\leq \quad & C e^{-C_0(1+t)^{1-\lambda}} \int_{\frac{\mu}{4}(1+t)^{-\lambda}}^1 |\xi|^{n-1+|\alpha|} e^{C_0\left(\frac{4}{\mu}|\xi|\right)^{-\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}}} e^{-C|\xi|^{2-\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}}} d|\xi|
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\xi|^{n-1+|\alpha|} e^{-C|\xi|^{2-\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}}} \\
= \quad & \left(|\xi|^{2-\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}}\right)^{\frac{n-1+|\alpha|}{2}} e^{-C|\xi|^{2-\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}}} |\xi|^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2\lambda}(n-1+|\alpha|)} \\
\leq \quad & C|\xi|^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2\lambda}(n-1+|\alpha|)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\xi^\alpha \Phi_i(t,\xi)\|_{L^1(Z_2)} \\
\leq \quad & C e^{-C_0(1+t)^{1-\lambda}} \int_{\frac{\mu}{4}(1+t)^{-\lambda}}^1 |\xi|^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2\lambda}(n-1+|\alpha|)} e^{C_0\left(\frac{4}{\mu}|\xi|\right)^{-\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}}} d|\xi| \\
= \quad & C e^{-C_0(1+t)^{1-\lambda}} \int_{\left(\frac{4}{\mu}\right)^{-\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}}}^{(1+t)^{1-\lambda}} s^{-\frac{1}{2}(n-1+|\alpha|)-\frac{1}{1-\lambda}} e^{C_0 s} ds \qquad \left(s = \left(\frac{4}{\mu}|\xi|\right)^{-\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}}\right) \\
\leq \quad & C e^{-C_0(1+t)^{1-\lambda}} \underbrace{\int_{\left(\frac{4}{\mu}\right)^{-\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}}}^{(1+t)^{1-\lambda}} s^{-\frac{1}{2}(n+|\alpha|)} e^{C_0 s} ds}_{I}. \qquad (3.13)
\end{aligned}$$

I can be dealt with integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned}
I \quad = \quad & \frac{1}{C_0} s^{-\frac{1}{2}(n+|\alpha|)} e^{C_0 s} \Big|_{s=\left(\frac{4}{\mu}\right)^{-\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}}}^{s=(1+t)^{1-\lambda}} \\
& -\frac{n+|\alpha|}{2C_0} \int_{\left(\frac{4}{\mu}\right)^{-\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}}}^{(1+t)^{1-\lambda}} s^{-\frac{1}{2}(n+|\alpha|)-1} e^{C_0 s} ds \\
\leq \quad & C(1+t)^{-\frac{1-\lambda}{2}(n+|\alpha|)} e^{C_0(1+t)^{1-\lambda}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting this into (3.13), we obtain that

$$\|\xi^\alpha \Phi_i(t,\xi)\|_{L^1(Z_2)} \leq C(1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)(n/2+|\alpha|/2)}.$$

The proof of (3.12) will be essentially the same as (3.11). We omit the detail.

Combining the estimates of $\Phi_i(t,\xi)$ in Lemma3.1 and Lemma3.2, we have the following estimates to the solution of (3.2).

Lemma 3.3 *Assume that for a function $g(x) \in L^1 \cap H^{s+l}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $s = [n/2]+1$ and $l \geq 0$. Then we have*

$$\|\partial_x^k (K_i(t,\cdot) * g(\cdot))\|_\infty \leq C(1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)\frac{n+k}{2}} \|g\|_1 + Ce^{-C_0(1+t)^{1-\lambda}} \|\partial_x^{s+k} g\|, \tag{3.14}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq k \leq l$.

$$\|\partial_x^k (K_i(t,\cdot) * g(\cdot))\| \leq C(1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{k}{2})} \|g\|_1 + Ce^{-C_0(1+t)^{1-\lambda}} \|\partial_x^k g\|, \tag{3.15}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq k \leq s+l$.

Proof. Using Lemma3.1, Lemma3.2 and Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_x^k (K_i(t,\cdot) * g(\cdot))\|_\infty \\
\leq\ & \||\xi|^k \hat{K}_i(t,\xi)\hat{g}(\xi)\|_1 \\
=\ & \Big(\int\limits_{\xi\in Z_1\cup Z_2} + \int\limits_{\xi\in Z_3} \Big) |\xi|^k |\Phi_i(t,\xi)||\hat{g}| d\xi \\
\leq\ & \|\hat{g}\|_\infty \int\limits_{\xi\in Z_1\cup Z_2} |\xi|^k |\Phi_i(t,\xi)| d\xi + \int\limits_{\xi\in Z_3} \|\Phi_i(t,\xi)\|_\infty |\xi|^k |\hat{g}| d\xi \\
\leq\ & \|\hat{g}\|_\infty \int\limits_{\xi\in Z_1\cup Z_2} |\xi|^k |\Phi_i(t,\xi)| d\xi \\
& + Ce^{-C_0(1+t)^{1-\lambda}} \Big(\int_{|\xi|\geq 1} |\xi|^{-2([n/2]+1)} d\xi \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big(\int_{|\xi|\geq 1} |\xi|^{2([n/2]+1+k)} |\hat{g}|^2 d\xi \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq\ & C(1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)\frac{n+k}{2}} \|g\|_1 + Ce^{-C_0(1+t)^{1-\lambda}} \|\partial_x^{k+s} g\|.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.14).

Using Plancherel equality, Lemma3.1 and Lemma3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_x^k (K_i(t,\cdot) * g(\cdot))\|^2 \\
=\ & \||\xi|^k \hat{K}_i(t,\xi)\hat{g}(\xi)\|^2 \\
=\ & \Big(\int\limits_{\xi\in Z_1\cup Z_2} + \int\limits_{\xi\in Z_3} \Big) |\xi|^{2k} |\Phi_i(t,\xi)|^2 |\hat{g}|^2 d\xi \\
\leq\ & \|\hat{g}\|_\infty^2 \int\limits_{\xi\in Z_1\cup Z_2} |\xi|^{2k} |\Phi_i(t,\xi)|^2 d\xi + \int\limits_{\xi\in Z_3} \|\Phi_i(t,\xi)\|_\infty^2 |\xi|^{2k} |\hat{g}|^2 d\xi \\
\leq\ & \|g\|_1^2 \int\limits_{\xi\in Z_1\cup Z_2} |\xi|^{2k} |\Phi_i(t,\xi)|^2 d\xi + Ce^{-2C_0(1+t)^{1-\lambda}} \int\limits_{\xi\in Z_3} |\xi|^{2k} |\hat{g}|^2 d\xi \\
\leq\ & C(1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)(\frac{n}{2}+k)} \|g\|_1^2 + Ce^{-2C_0(1+t)^{1-\lambda}} \|\partial_x^k g\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.15). □

3.2 Asymptotic behavior of v

From (2.3) and (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} v(t,x) &= (K_1(t,\cdot)*v_0(\cdot))(x)+(K_2(t,\cdot)*v_1(\cdot))(x) \\ &\quad +\int_0^t (K_2(t-\tau,\cdot)*Q(v,u)(\tau,\cdot))(x)d\tau, \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$v_1(x)=\partial_t v|_{t=0}=-\nabla\cdot u_0-u_0\cdot\nabla v_0-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v_0\nabla\cdot u_0. \tag{3.17}$$

Before we do estimates on v, we first estimate the nonlinear term $Q(v,u)$ under the help of Theorem1.1. Remember that

$$\begin{aligned} Q(v,u) &= \frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}(-u\cdot\nabla v-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\nabla\cdot u) \\ &\quad -\partial_t(u\cdot\nabla v-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\nabla\cdot u)+\nabla\cdot(u\cdot\nabla u+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\nabla v). \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Proposition 3.1 *Assume $f,g\in H^l(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then for $|\alpha|\le l$, we have*

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha(fg)\|\le C_l\big(\|f\|_\infty\|\partial_x^l g\|+\|g\|_\infty\|\partial_x^l f\|\big).$$

Proof of the proposition can be found in many literatures. See [13] for example.

Lemma 3.4 *Under the assumption of Theorem1.1, we have the following estimates for Q.*

$$\|Q(v,u)(t,\cdot)\|_1\le C\varepsilon^2(1+t)^{-B-\frac{1+\lambda}{2}}. \tag{3.19}$$

And

$$\|\partial_x^k Q(v,u)(t,\cdot)\|\le C\varepsilon^2(1+t)^{-B-\frac{1+\lambda}{2}}, \tag{3.20}$$

where $k=0,1,2,...,s+m-2$.

Proof. This is a direct computation of the combination of Leibniz formula, Hölder inequality, Proposition3.1 and (1.4) in Theorem1.1. □

Lemma 3.5 *Suppose that $a>1$ and $a\ge b>0$. Then there exists a constant C such that for all $t\ge 0$,*

$$\int_0^t(1+t-\tau)^{-a}(1+\tau)^{-b}d\tau\le C(1+t)^{-b}. \tag{3.21}$$

Proof. This is just a direct computation

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t(1+t-\tau)^{-a}(1+\tau)^{-b}d\tau \\ =\ &\Big(\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}}+\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t\Big)(1+t-\tau)^{-a}(1+\tau)^{-b}d\tau \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C(1+t)^{-a}\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}}(1+\tau)^{-b}d\tau + C(1+t)^{-b}\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t}(1+t-\tau)^{-a}d\tau\\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-a+1-b} + C(1+t)^{-b}\\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-b}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

L^∞ **estimate**

From (3.16), using (3.14), for $k = 0, 1, ..., m-2$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial_x^k v\|_\infty\\
\leq\ & C(1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)\frac{n+k}{2}}\|(v_0,v_1)\|_1 + Ce^{-C_0(1+t)^{1-\lambda}}\|\partial_x^{s+k}(v_0,v_1)\|\\
&+C\int_0^t(1+t-\tau)^{-(1-\lambda)\frac{n+k}{2}}\|Q(v,u)\|_1 d\tau\\
&+C\int_0^t e^{-C_0(1+t-\tau)^{1-\lambda}}\|\partial_x^{s+k}Q(v,u)\|d\tau\\
\leq\ & C(1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)\frac{n+k}{2}}\|(v_0,u_0)\|_{H^{s+m-1}}\\
&+C\varepsilon^2\int_0^t(1+t-\tau)^{-(1-\lambda)\frac{n+k}{2}}(1+\tau)^{-B-\frac{1+\lambda}{2}}d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Noting $B = \frac{(1+\lambda)n}{2} - \delta$, when $(1-\lambda)\frac{n+k}{2} = B + \frac{1+\lambda}{2}$, we have

$$k = k_c = \frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda}(n+1) - n - \frac{2\delta}{1-\lambda}.$$

Case 1: $0 \leq k \leq k_c$

Using Lemma3.5 and the initial data assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial_x^k v\|_\infty\\
\leq\ & C\varepsilon(1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)\frac{n+k}{2}} + C\varepsilon^2(1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)\frac{n+k}{2}}\\
\leq\ & C\varepsilon(1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)\frac{n+k}{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Case 2: $k_c \leq k \leq m-2$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial_x^k v\|_\infty\\
\leq\ & C\varepsilon(1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)\frac{n+k}{2}} + C\varepsilon^2(1+t)^{-B-\frac{1+\lambda}{2}}\\
\leq\ & C(1+t)^{-(1+\lambda)\frac{n+1}{2}+\delta}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

L^2 **estimate**

From (3.16), using (3.15), for $k = 0, 1, ..., s+m-2$

$$\|\partial_x^k v\|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C(1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{k}{2})}\|(v_0,v_1)\|_1 + Ce^{-C_0(1+t)^{1-\lambda}}\|\partial_x^k(v_0,v_1)\| \\
&\quad +C\int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-(1-\lambda)(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{k}{2})}\|Q(v,u)\|_1 d\tau \\
&\quad +C\int_0^t e^{-C_0(1+t-\tau)^{1-\lambda}}\|\partial_x^k Q(v,u)\| d\tau \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{k}{2})}\|(v_0,u_0)\|_{H^{s+m-1}} \\
&\quad +C\varepsilon^2\int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-(1-\lambda)(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{k}{2})}(1+\tau)^{-B-\frac{1+\lambda}{2}} d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

When $(1-\lambda)(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{k}{2}) = B + \frac{1+\lambda}{2}$, we have

$$k = k_c + \frac{n}{2}.$$

Case 1: $0 \leq k \leq k_c + \frac{n}{2}$
Using Lemma3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial_x^k v\| \\
&\leq C\varepsilon(1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{k}{2})} + C\varepsilon^2(1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{k}{2})} \\
&\leq C\varepsilon(1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{k}{2})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Case 2: $k_c + \frac{n}{2} \leq k \leq m-2$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial_x^k v\| \\
&\leq C\varepsilon(1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{k}{2})} + C\varepsilon^2(1+t)^{-B-\frac{1+\lambda}{2}} \\
&\leq C\varepsilon(1+t)^{-(1+\lambda)\frac{n+1}{2}+\delta}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Combining the estimates (3.22)-(3.25), we proved (1.5) and (1.6).

3.3 Asymptotic behavior of u

Denote $u = (u^1, ..., u^n)$. From $(2.2)_2$, differentiating it k time in x, we have

$$\partial_t \partial_x^k u^i + \frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}\partial_x^k u^i = -\partial_x^k\big(\partial_i v + u^j\partial_j u^i + \frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\partial_i v\big). \tag{3.26}$$

L^∞ **estimate**
From (3.26), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big[e^{\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}(1+t)^{1-\lambda}}\partial_x^k u^i\Big] = -e^{\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}(1+t)^{1-\lambda}}\partial_x^k\big(\partial_i v + u^j\partial_j u^i + \frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\partial_i v\big). \tag{3.27}$$

Integrating (3.27) from 0 to t, we obtain

$$e^{\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}(1+t)^{1-\lambda}}\partial_x^k u^i = e^{\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}}\partial_x^k u_0^i(x)$$

$$-\int_0^t e^{\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}(1+\tau)^{1-\lambda}}\partial_x^k\big(\partial_i v+u^j\partial_j u^i+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\partial_i v\big)d\tau. \tag{3.28}$$

From the estimates of v (1.5) and (1.6) and (1.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&|-\partial_x^k\big(\partial_i v+u^j\partial_{x_j}u^i+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\partial_i v\big)|\\ \le\ &\begin{cases}(1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)\frac{n+k+1}{2}} & 0\le k\le k_c-1,\\ (1+t)^{-\frac{1+\lambda}{2}(n+1)+\delta} & k_c-1<k\le m-3.\end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

Then from (3.28) and (3.29), we have
Case 1: $0\le k\le k_c-1$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_x^k u^i\|_\infty &\le C\varepsilon e^{-\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}(1+t)^{1-\lambda}}\\ &\quad+e^{-\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}(1+t)^{1-\lambda}}\underbrace{\int_0^t(1+\tau)^{-(1-\lambda)\frac{n+k+1}{2}}e^{\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}(1+\tau)^{1-\lambda}}d\tau}_{I}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

Using integration by parts, it is easy to see

$$\begin{aligned}I &= C\int_0^t(1+\tau)^{-(1-\lambda)\frac{n+k+1}{2}+\lambda}de^{\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}(1+\tau)^{1-\lambda}}\\ &\le C(1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)\frac{n+k+1}{2}+\lambda}e^{\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}(1+t)^{1-\lambda}}.\end{aligned}$$

So, from (3.30), we get

$$\|\partial_x^k u^i\|_\infty\le C\varepsilon(1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)\frac{n+k+1}{2}+\lambda}. \tag{3.31}$$

Case 2: $k_c-1\le k\le m-3$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_x^k u^i\|_\infty &\le C\varepsilon e^{-\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}(1+t)^{1-\lambda}}\\ &\quad+e^{-\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}(1+t)^{1-\lambda}}\int_0^t(1+\tau)^{-(1+\lambda)\frac{n+1}{2}+\delta}e^{\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}(1+\tau)^{1-\lambda}}d\tau\\ &\le C\varepsilon(1+t)^{-(1+\lambda)\frac{n+1}{2}+\lambda+\delta}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

L^2 estimate
Multiplying (3.26) by $\partial_x^k u^i$, then integrating it on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and using Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\|\partial_x^k u^i\|^2+\frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}\|\partial_x^k u^i\|^2\\ =\ &-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\partial_x^k u^i\partial_x^k\big(\partial_i v+u^j\partial_j u^i+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\partial_i v\big)dx\\ \le\ &\frac{\mu}{2(1+t)^\lambda}\|\partial_x^k u^i\|^2+C(1+t)^\lambda\|\partial_x^k\partial_i v\|^2\\ &+C(1+t)^\lambda\Big(\|\partial_x^k(u^j\partial_j u^i)\|^2+\|\partial_x^k(v\partial_i v)\|^2\Big)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{\mu}{2(1+t)^{\lambda}}\|\partial_x^k u^i\|^2 + C(1+t)^{\lambda}\|\partial_x^k \partial_i v\|^2 \\ &\quad + C\varepsilon^4(1+t)^{-2B-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

Form (1.5) and (1.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\partial_x^k \partial_i v\| \\ &\leq \begin{cases} C\varepsilon(1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{k+1}{2})} & 0 \leq k \leq k_c + \dfrac{n}{2} - 1, \\ C\varepsilon(1+t)^{-(1+\lambda)\frac{n+1}{2}+\delta} & k_c + \dfrac{n}{2} - 1 < k \leq s+m-3. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Case 1: $0 \leq k \leq k_c + \frac{n}{2} - 1$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}\|\partial_x^k u^i\|^2 + \frac{\mu}{2(1+t)^{\lambda}}\|\partial_x^k u^i\|^2 \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^2(1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)(\frac{n}{2}+k+1)+\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}\Big(\|\partial_x^k u^i\|^2 e^{\frac{\mu}{2(1-\lambda)}(1+t)^{1-\lambda}}\Big) \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^2 \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{-(1-\lambda)(\frac{n}{2}+k+1)+\lambda} e^{\frac{\mu}{2(1-\lambda)}(1+\tau)^{1-\lambda}} d\tau \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

Integrating (3.34) from 0 to t, we obtain

$$\|\partial_x^k u^i\| \leq C\varepsilon(1+t)^{-(1-\lambda)(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{k+1}{2})+\lambda}. \tag{3.35}$$

Case 2: when $k_c + \frac{n}{2} - 1 < k \leq s+m-3$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}\|\partial_x^k u^i\|^2 + \frac{\mu}{2(1+t)^{\lambda}}\|\partial_x^k u^i\|^2 \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^2(1+t)^{-(1+\lambda)(n+1)+\lambda+2\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

The same as (3.34), we have

$$\|\partial_x^k u^i\| \leq C\varepsilon(1+t)^{-(1+\lambda)\frac{n+1}{2}+\lambda+\delta}. \tag{3.36}$$

Combining (3.31), (3.32), (3.35) and (3.36), we proved (1.7) and (1.8).

4 Proof of Theorem1.3

In this Section, we derive that the smooth solution of the Euler equations have a polynomially decayed lower bound in time while in three dimensions, the vorticity will decay exponentially.

Lower-bound decay rate of (ρ, u).

Define

$$F(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x \cdot (\rho u) dx, \qquad M(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\rho - 1) dx,$$
$$B(t) = \{x || x| \le R + t\}.$$

Due to the finite propagation of the solution and the compact support of the initial data, we have

$$\text{supp } (\rho(t) - 1) \subseteq B(t), \qquad \text{supp } u \subseteq B(t).$$

From $(1.1)_1$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} M(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho_t dx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla \cdot (\rho u) = 0,$$

which means $M(t) = M(0)$. So using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$q_0 = M(0) \le \Big| \int_{B(t)} (\rho - 1) dx \Big| \le C \|(\rho - 1)\| (R + t)^{\frac{n}{2}}. \tag{4.1}$$

Using $(1.1)_2$ and integration by parts, we get

$$F'(t) + \frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda} F(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \big(\rho |u|^2 + n(p(\rho) - p(1))\big) dx. \tag{4.2}$$

While noting the convexity of $p(\rho) = \frac{1}{\gamma}\rho^\gamma, \gamma > 1$, so we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (p(\rho) - p(1)) dx \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p'(1)(\rho - 1) dx = M(t) = M(0). \tag{4.3}$$

Combining (4.2) and (4.3), we have

$$F'(t) + \frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda} F(t) \ge n q_0. \tag{4.4}$$

Multiplying (4.4) by $e^{\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}(1+t)^{1-\lambda}}$ and integrating it on $[0, t]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &\ge e^{\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}} F(0) e^{-\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}(1+t)^{1-\lambda}} \\ &\quad + n q_0 e^{-\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}(1+t)^{1-\lambda}} \int_0^t e^{\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}(1+\tau)^{1-\lambda}} d\tau \\ &\ge e^{\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}} F(0) e^{-\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}(1+t)^{1-\lambda}} \\ &\quad + \frac{n q_0}{\mu} e^{-\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}(1+t)^{1-\lambda}} \int_0^t \frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda} e^{\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}(1+\tau)^{1-\lambda}} d\tau \\ &= e^{\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}} F(0) e^{-\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}(1+t)^{1-\lambda}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+\frac{nq_0}{\mu}e^{-\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}(1+t)^{1-\lambda}}\Big(e^{\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}(1+t)^{1-\lambda}}-e^{\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}}\Big)\\ \geq\ & Cq_0. \end{aligned}$$

when $t > t_0$, where t_0 is a suitably large constant depending on λ, μ.

Using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, finite propagation speed, and $|\rho|$ is uniformly bounded, we have

$$Cq_0 \leq F(t) \leq C\Big(\int_{B(t)}\rho^2|x|^2dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\int|u|^2dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(R+t)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}\|u\|. \tag{4.5}$$

(4.1) and (4.5) imply (1.9).

Exponential decay of the vorticity.

In three dimensions, the vorticity ω of the equations satisfies

$$\partial_t\omega + \frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}\omega + u\cdot\nabla\omega - \omega\nabla u = 0. \tag{4.6}$$

Multiplying (4.6) by ω and integrating it on $\mathbb{R}^n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int|w|^2dx + \frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}\int|\omega|^2dx &\leq C\int(|\omega|^2|\nabla u| + |\omega\cdot\nabla u\cdot\omega|dx)\\ &\leq C\|\nabla u\|_\infty\int|\omega|^2dx. \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 1.1, we have $\|\nabla u\|_\infty \leq C\varepsilon(1+t)^{\frac{B+1+\lambda}{2}}$. Noting $\frac{B+1+\lambda}{2} \geq \lambda$ and ε is small, so we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int|w|^2dx + \frac{\mu}{2(1+t)^\lambda}\int|\omega|^2dx \leq 0.$$

This implies the exponential decay of ω (1.10).

5 Appendix

Proof of Lemma2.1

Remember

$$\psi(t,x) = a\frac{|x|^2}{(1+t)^{1+\lambda}}, \qquad a = \frac{(1+\lambda)\mu}{8}\Big(1-\frac{\delta}{(1+\lambda)n}\Big).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_t &= -(1+\lambda)\frac{a|x|^2}{(1+t)^{2+\lambda}} = -\frac{1+\lambda}{1+t}\psi,\\ \nabla\psi &= \frac{2ax}{(1+t)^{1+\lambda}}, \quad \Delta\psi = \frac{2an}{(1+t)^{1+\lambda}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

And

$$\frac{|\nabla\psi|^2}{-\psi_t} = \frac{4a}{1+\lambda}\frac{1}{(1+t)^\lambda} = \frac{1}{2}\Big(1-\frac{\delta}{(1+\lambda)n}\Big)\frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}, \tag{5.2}$$

$$\Delta\psi = \Big[\frac{(1+\lambda)n}{4} - \frac{\delta}{4}\Big]\frac{\mu}{(1+t)^{1+\lambda}}. \tag{5.3}$$

Multiplying (2.3) by $e^{2\psi}\partial_t v$ and $e^{2\psi}v$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t\Big[\frac{e^{2\psi}}{2}\big((\partial_t v)^2 + |\nabla v|^2\big)\Big] - \nabla\cdot(e^{2\psi}\partial_t v\nabla v) \\ &\quad + e^{2\psi}\Big(\frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda} - \frac{|\nabla\psi|^2}{-\psi_t} - \psi_t\Big)(\partial_t v)^2 + \underbrace{\frac{e^{2\psi}}{-\psi_t}|\psi_t\nabla v - \partial_t v\nabla\psi|^2}_{I_1} \\ = \;& e^{2\psi}\partial_t v Q(v,u), \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t\Big[e^{2\psi}\Big(v\partial_t v + \frac{\mu}{2(1+t)^\lambda}v^2\Big)\Big] - \nabla\cdot(e^{2\psi}v\nabla v) \\ &\quad + e^{2\psi}\Big\{|\nabla v|^2 + \Big(-\psi_t + \frac{\lambda}{2(1+t)}\Big)\frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}v^2 + \underbrace{2v\nabla\psi\cdot\nabla v}_{I_2} - 2\psi_t v\partial_v - (\partial_t v)^2\Big\} \\ = \;& e^{2\psi}vQ(v,u). \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

We estimate I_1, I_2 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\geq \frac{e^{2\psi}}{-\psi_t}\Big((1-\delta_1)\psi_t^2|\nabla v|^2 - (1/\delta_1 - 1)v_t^2|\nabla\psi|^2\Big) \\ &= e^{2\psi}\Big\{(1-\delta_1)(-\psi_t)|\nabla v|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Big(1 - \frac{\delta}{(1+\lambda)n}\Big)(1/\delta_1 - 1)\frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}v_t^2\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

Choosing δ_1 close to 1 such that

$$\frac{1}{2}\Big(1 - \frac{\delta}{(1+\lambda)n}\Big)(1/\delta_1 - 1) \leq \frac{\delta}{2(1+\lambda)n}, \tag{5.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= 4e^{2\psi}v\nabla v\cdot\nabla\psi - e^{2\psi}\nabla v^2\cdot\nabla\psi \\ &= 4e^{2\psi}v\nabla v\cdot\nabla\psi - \nabla\cdot(e^{2\psi}v^2\nabla\psi) + 2e^{2\psi}v^2|\nabla\psi|^2 + e^{2\psi}(\Delta\psi)v^2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

Then Inserting (5.6) and (5.8) into (5.4) and (5.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t\Big[\frac{e^{2\psi}}{2}\big((\partial_t v)^2 + |\nabla v|^2\big)\Big] - \nabla\cdot(e^{2\psi}\partial_t v\nabla v) \\ &\quad + e^{2\psi}\Big\{\Big(\frac{\mu}{2(1+t)^\lambda} - \psi_t\Big)(v_t)^2 + (1-\delta_1)(-\psi_t)|\nabla v|^2\Big\} \\ \leq \;& e^{2\psi}\partial_t v Q(v,u), \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t\Big[e^{2\psi}\Big(v\partial_t v+\frac{\mu}{2(1+t)^\lambda}v^2\Big)\Big]-\nabla\cdot\{(e^{2\psi}(v\nabla v+v^2\nabla\psi)\}\\
&\quad+e^{2\psi}\Big\{\underbrace{|\nabla v|^2+4v\nabla\psi\cdot\nabla v+\Big(\frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}(-\psi_t)+2|\nabla\psi|^2\Big)v^2}_{I_3}\\
&\qquad+\Big(\lambda+\frac{(1+\lambda)n}{2}-2\delta\Big)\frac{\mu}{2(1+t)^{1+\lambda}}v^2-2\psi_t vv_t-v_t^2\Big\}\\
=\;& e^{2\psi}vQ(v,u). \qquad (5.10)
\end{aligned}$$

By (5.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &= |\nabla v|^2+4v\nabla\psi\cdot\nabla v+4\frac{1-\delta/\big(2(1+\lambda)n\big)}{1-\delta/\big((1+\lambda)n\big)}v^2|\nabla\psi|^2\\
&\geq (1-\delta_2)|\nabla v|^2+4\Big(\frac{1-\delta/\big(2(1+\lambda)n\big)}{1-\delta/\big((1+\lambda)n\big)}-1/\delta_2\Big)|\nabla\psi|^2v^2
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing δ_2 close to 1 can assure that for some $\delta_3,\delta_4>0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &\geq \delta_3\Big(|\nabla v|^2+|\nabla\psi|^2v^2\Big)\\
&\geq \delta_4\Big(|\nabla v|^2+\frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}(-\psi_t)v^2\Big) \qquad (5.11)
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting (5.11) into (5.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t\Big[e^{2\psi}\Big(v\partial_t v+\frac{\mu}{2(1+t)^\lambda}v^2\Big)\Big]-\nabla\cdot\{(e^{2\psi}(v\nabla v+v^2\nabla\psi)\}\\
&\quad+e^{2\psi}\Big\{\delta_4\Big(|\nabla v|^2+\frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}(-\psi_t)v^2\Big)\\
&\qquad+\Big(\lambda+\frac{(1+\lambda)n}{2}-2\delta\Big)\frac{\mu}{2(1+t)^{1+\lambda}}v^2-2\psi_t vv_t-v_t^2\Big\}\\
\leq\;& e^{2\psi}vQ(v,u). \qquad (5.12)
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating (5.12) on $\mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{2\psi}\Big(v\partial_t v+\frac{\mu}{2(1+t)^\lambda}v^2\Big)dx\\
&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{2\psi}\Big\{\delta_4\Big(|\nabla v|^2+\frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}(-\psi_t)v^2\Big)+\Big(\lambda+\frac{(1+\lambda)n}{2}-2\delta\Big)\frac{\mu}{2(1+t)^{1+\lambda}}v^2\\
&\qquad-2\psi_t vv_t-v_t^2\Big\}dx\\
\leq\;&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{2\psi}vQ(v,u)dx. \qquad (5.13)
\end{aligned}$$

To absorb the negative term $-v_t^2$, we integrate (5.9) in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and multiply it by $(K+t)^\lambda$, where K is a sufficiently large constant. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\Big[(K+t)^\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{e^{2\psi}}{2}\big(v_t^2+|\nabla v|^2\big)dx\Big]-\frac{\lambda}{(K+t)^{1-\lambda}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{e^{2\psi}}{2}\big(v_t^2+|\nabla v|^2\big)dx\\
&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{2\psi}\Big\{\Big(\frac{\mu}{2}-\psi_t(K+t)^\lambda\Big)v_t^2+(1-\delta_1)(-\psi_t)(K+t)^\lambda|\nabla v|^2\Big\}\\
\le\;&(K+t)^\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{2\psi}\partial_t vQ(v,u)dx. \qquad (5.14)
\end{aligned}$$

Now adding $\nu\cdot$(5.13) to (5.14),where ν is a sufficient small constant, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{2\psi}\Big\{\frac{(K+t)^\lambda}{2}\big(v_t^2+|\nabla v|^2\big)+\underbrace{\nu v v_t}_{I_4}+\frac{\nu\mu}{2(1+t)^\lambda}v^2\Big\}dx\\
&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{2\psi}\Big\{\Big(\frac{\mu}{2}-\nu+(-\psi_t)(K+t)^\lambda-\frac{\lambda}{2(K+t)^{1-\lambda}}\Big)v_t^2\\
&\qquad+\Big((1-\delta_1)(-\psi_t)(K+t)^\lambda+\delta_4\nu-\frac{\lambda}{2(K+t)^{1-\lambda}}\Big)|\nabla v|^2\\
&\qquad+\delta_4\nu\frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}(-\psi_t)v^2+\Big(\lambda+\frac{(1+\lambda)n}{2}-\frac{\delta}{2}\Big)\frac{\nu\mu}{2(1+t)^{1+\lambda}}v^2\\
&\qquad\underbrace{-2\nu\psi_t v v_t}_{I_5}\Big\}dx\\
\le\;&(K+t)^\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{2\psi}\partial_t vQ(v,u)dx+\nu\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{2\psi}vQ(v,u)dx. \qquad (5.15)
\end{aligned}$$

The terms I_4 and I_5 can be absorbed by the other positive terms by applying the smallness of ν and largeness of K and the following Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_4|&\le\frac{(K+t)^\lambda}{4}v_t^2+\frac{\nu^2}{(K+t)^\lambda}v^2\\
&\le\frac{(K+t)^\lambda}{4}v_t^2+\frac{\nu^2}{(1+t)^\lambda}v^2, \qquad (5.16)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_5|&\le\frac{\nu\delta_4}{2}(-\psi_t)\frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}v^2+\frac{2\nu}{\mu\delta_4}(-\psi_t)(1+t)^\lambda v_t^2\\
&\le\frac{\nu\delta_4}{2}(-\psi_t)\frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}v^2+\frac{2\nu}{\mu\delta_4}(-\psi_t)(K+t)^\lambda v_t^2. \qquad (5.17)
\end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$E(t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{2\psi}\Big\{\frac{(K+t)^\lambda}{2}\big(v_t^2+|\nabla v|^2\big)+\underbrace{\nu v v_t}_{I_4}+\frac{\nu\mu}{2(1+t)^\lambda}v^2\Big\}dx.$$

When ν is sufficiently small and K is large, using (5.16), there exists a small constant c_δ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}E(t)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big(\lambda+\frac{(1+\lambda)n}{2}-\frac{\delta}{2}\Big)\frac{\nu\mu}{2(1+t)^{1+\lambda}}v^2dx\\
&\qquad+c_\delta\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{2\psi}\Big\{\Big(1+(-\psi_t)(K+t)^\lambda\Big)v_t^2\\
&\qquad\qquad+\Big(1+(-\psi_t)(K+t)^\lambda\Big)|\nabla v|^2\\
&\qquad\qquad+(1+t)^{-\lambda}(-\psi_t)v^2\Big\}dxd\tau\\
\leq\ &(K+t)^\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{2\psi}\partial_t vQ(v,u)dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{2\psi}vQ(v,u)dx. \qquad (5.18)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (5.16), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4}(K+t)^\lambda\Big[J(t;v_t)+J(t;|\nabla v|)\Big]+(\frac{\nu\mu}{2}-\nu^2)(1+t)^{-\lambda}J(t;v)\\
&\leq E(t)\leq\\
&\frac{3}{4}(K+t)^\lambda\Big[J(t;v_t)+J(t;|\nabla v|)\Big]+(\frac{\nu\mu}{2}+\nu^2)(1+t)^{-\lambda}J(t;v). \qquad (5.19)
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (5.18) by $(K+t)^{B+\lambda}$ and using (5.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\Big[(K+t)^{B+\lambda}E(t)\Big]\\
&+\Big(c_\delta-\frac{3}{4}(B+\lambda)(K+t)^{\lambda-1}\Big)(K+t)^{B+\lambda}\Big[J(t;v_t)+J(t;|\nabla v|)\Big]\\
&+\frac{(K+t)^{B+\lambda}}{2(1+t)^{1+\lambda}}\bigg(\Big(\lambda+\frac{(1+\lambda)n}{2}-\frac{\delta}{2}\Big)\nu\mu-2(B+\lambda)(\frac{\nu\mu}{2}+\nu^2)\bigg)J(t;v)\\
&+c_\delta(K+t)^{B+2\lambda}\Big[J_\psi(v_t)+J_\psi(t;|\nabla v|)\Big]+c_\delta(K+t)^BJ_\psi(t;v)\\
\leq\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{2\psi}\bigg[\Big((K+t)^{B+2\lambda}v_t+\nu(K+t)^{B+\lambda}v\Big)Q(v,u)\bigg]dx. \qquad (5.20)
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating (5.20) over $[0,t]$, we can show that by choosing small ν and large K, there exists a constant C_0 depending on λ,μ,δ,R such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(K+t)^{B+2\lambda}\Big[J(t;v_t)+J(t;|\nabla v|)\Big]+(K+t)^BJ(t;v)\\
&+\int_0^t(K+\tau)^{B+\lambda}\Big[J(\tau;v_\tau)+J(\tau;|\nabla v|)\Big]d\tau\\
&+\int_0^t(K+\tau)^{B+2\lambda}\Big[J_\psi(\tau;v_\tau)+J_\psi(\tau;|\nabla v|)\Big]d\tau\\
&+\int_0^t\Big[(K+\tau)^BJ_\psi(\tau;v)+(K+\tau)^{B-1}J(\tau;v)\Big]d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C\|(v(0),v_t(0),\partial_x v(0))\| \\
&\quad +C\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\psi}\Big\{\Big((K+\tau)^{B+2\lambda}v_\tau+(K+\tau)^{B+\lambda}v\Big)Q(v,u)\Big\}dxd\tau. \qquad (5.21)
\end{aligned}$$

Considering that $\int_0^t(K+\tau)^{B+\lambda}\big[J(\tau;v_\tau)+J(\tau;|\nabla v|)\big]d\tau$ has been estimated, we multiply (5.14) by $(K+t)^{B+1}$ and integrate it over $[0,t]$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&(K+t)^{B+1+\lambda}\Big(J(t;v_t)+J(t;|\nabla v|)\Big) \\
&-\int_0^t(\lambda+B+1)(K+\tau)^{B+\lambda}\big[J(\tau;v_\tau)+J(\tau;|\nabla v|)\big]d\tau \\
&+\int_0^t(K+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda}[J_\psi(\tau;v_\tau)+J_\psi(\tau;|\nabla v|)\big]d\tau \\
&+\int_0^t(K+\tau)^{B+1}J(\tau;v_\tau)d\tau \\
\leq\ & C\|(v_t(0),\partial_x v(0))\|+C\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(K+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda}e^{2\psi}\partial_\tau v Q(v,u)dxd\tau. \qquad (5.22)
\end{aligned}$$

For small ν, adding $\nu\cdot$(5.22) to (5.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(K+t)^{B+1+\lambda}\Big[J(t;v_\tau)+J(t;|\nabla v|)\Big]+(K+t)^BJ(t;v) \\
&\quad+\int_0^t(K+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda}[J_\psi(\tau;v_\tau)+J_\psi(\tau;|\nabla v|)\big]d\tau \\
&\quad+\int_0^t\big[(K+\tau)^{B+1}J(\tau,v_\tau)+(K+\tau)^{B+\lambda}J(\tau,|\nabla v|)\big]d\tau \\
&\quad+\int_0^t\big[(K+\tau)^BJ_\psi(\tau,v)+(K+\tau)^{B-1}J(\tau,v)\big]d\tau \\
\leq\ & C\|(v(0),v_t(0),\partial_x v(0))\| \\
&+C\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{2\psi}\Big\{\Big((K+\tau)^{B+1+\lambda}v_\tau+(K+\tau)^{B+\lambda}v\Big)Q(v,u)\Big\}dxd\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves Lemma2.1. □

Acknowledgement. I want to express my gratitude to my advisor Professor Huicheng Yin in Nanjing Normal University for his guidance about this work. This work is proceeded when I am visiting Department of Mathematics in University of California, Riverside. So, I also want to express my thanks to my co-advisor, Professor Qi S. Zhang, in UCR for his encouragement.

References

[1] Alinhac, S. Blowup for nonlinear hyperbolic equations. Birkhäuser Boston, 1995.

[2] Chemin, Jean-Yves Remarques sur l'apparition de singularités dans les écoulements eulériens compressibles. Comm. Math. Phys.133 (1990), no. 2, 323-329.

[3] Courant, R.; Friedrichs, K. O. Supersonic Flow and Shock Waves. New York, 1948.

[4] Chen, Gui-Qiang; Liu, Hailiang Formation of δ-shocks and vacuum states in the vanishing pressure limit of solutions to the Euler equations for isentropic fluids. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 34 (2003), no. 4, 925-938

[5] Christodoulou, D. The formation of shocks in 3-dimensional fluids. EMS Monographs in Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2007.

[6] Dafermos, Constantine M. Hyperbolic conservation laws in continuum physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

[7] Hsiao, Ling; Liu, Tai-Ping Convergence to nonlinear diffusion waves for solutions of a system of hyperbolic conservation laws with damping. Comm. Math. Phys. 143 (1992), no. 3, 599-605.

[8] Hou, Fei; Yin, Huicheng On the global existence and blowup of smooth solutions to the multi-dimensional compressible Euler equations with time-depending damping arXiv:1606.08935.

[9] Hou, Fei; Witt, Ingo; Yin, Huicheng On the global existence and blowup of smooth solutions of 3-D compressible Euler equations with time-depending damping. arXiv:1510.04613

[10] Huang, Feimin; Marcati, Pierangelo; Pan, Ronghua Convergence to the Barenblatt solution for the compressible Euler equations with damping and vacuum. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 176 (2005), no. 1, 1-24.

[11] Jiu, Quansen; Zheng, Xiaoxin Global well-posedness of the compressible Euler with damping in Besov spaces. Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 35 (2012), no. 13, 1570-1586.

[12] Kato, Tosio The Cauchy problem for quasi-linear symmetric hyperbolic systems. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 58 (1975), no. 3, 181-205.

[13] Klainerman, Sergiu; Majda, Andrew Singular limits of quasilinear hyperbolic systems with large parameters and the incompressible limit of compressible fluids. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 34 (1981), no. 4, 481-524.

[14] Kong, De-Xing; Wang, Yu-Zhu Long-time behaviour of smooth solutions to the compressible Euler equations with damping in several space variables.IMA J. Appl. Math. 77 (2012), no. 4, 473-494.

[15] Majda, A. Compressible fluid flow and systems of conservation laws in several space variables. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 53. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.

[16] Makino, Tetu; Ukai, Seiji; Kawashima, Shuichi Sur la solution à support compact de equations d'Euler compressible. Japan J. Appl. Math. 3 (1986), no. 2, 249-257.

[17] Nishida, Takaaki Nonlinear hyperbolic equations and related topics in fluid dynamics. Publications Mathématiques d'Orsay, No. 78-02. Département de Mathématique, Université de Paris-Sud, Orsay, 1978.

[18] Nishihara, Kenji Asymptotic behavior of solutions to the semilinear wave equation with time-dependent damping. Tokyo J. Math. 34 (2011), no. 2, 327-343.

[19] Nishihara, Kenji; Wang, Weike; Yang, Tong L_p-convergence rate to nonlinear diffusion waves for p-system with damping. J. Differential Equations 161 (2000), no. 1, 191-218.

[20] Pan, Xinghong Global existence of solutions to 1-d Euler equations with time-dependent damping. Nonlinear Anal. 132 (2016), 327-336.

[21] Pan, Xinghong Blow up of solutions to 1-d Euler equations with time-dependent damping. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 442 (2016), 435-445.

[22] Rammaha, M. A. Formation of singularities in compressible fluids in two-space dimensions. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 107 (1989), no. 3, 705-714.

[23] Sideris, T. Delayed singularity formation in 2D compressible flow. Amer. J. Math. 119 (1997), no. 2, 371-422.

[24] Sideris, T. Formation of singularities in three-dimensional compressible fluids. Comm. Math. Phys. 101 (1985), no. 4, 475-485.

[25] Sideris, T; Thomases, Becca; Wang, Dehua Long time behavior of solutions to the 3D compressible Euler equations with damping. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 28 (2003), no. 3-4, 795-816.

[26] Tan, Zhong; Wang, Yong Global solution and large-time behavior of the 3D compressible Euler equations with damping. J. Differential Equations 254 (2013), no. 4, 1686-1704.

[27] Wirth, Jens Wave equations with time-dependent dissipation. II. Effective dissipation. J. Differential Equations 232 (2007), no. 1, 74-103.

[28] Wang, Weike; Yang, Tong The pointwise estimates of solutions for Euler equations with damping in multi-dimensions. J. Differential Equations 173 (2001), no. 2, 410-450.

[29] Yin, Huicheng Formation and construction of a shock wave for 3-D compressible Euler equations with the spherical initial data. Nagoya Math. J. 175 (2004), 125-164.